

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/490,680	01/24/2000	Robert J. Monson	5360	7479
•	590 04/14/2004		EXAMINER	
Carl L. Johnso Jacobson and Jacobson				DARCE MUNICIPAL
Suite 285			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
One West Water Street St. Paul, MN 55107-2080			DATE MAILED: 04/14/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



## Notification of Non-Compliance With 37 CFR 1.192(c)

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/490,680	MONSON ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Alfred Joseph Wujciak III	3632	IMW	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

The Appeal Brief filed on <u>15 December 2003</u> is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 1.192(c). See MPEP § 1206.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file IN TRIPLICATE a complete new brief in compliance with 37 CFR 1.192(c) within the longest of any of the following three **TIME PERIODS**: (1) **ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS** from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer; (2) **TWO MONTHS** from the date of the notice of appeal; or (3) within the period for reply to the action from which this appeal was taken. **EXTENSIONS OF THESE TIME PERIODS MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136**.

witi M.A	hin t	the period for reply to the action from which this appeal was taken. EXTENSIONS OF THESE TIME PERIODS BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.
1.		The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 1.192(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.
2.		The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, pending or cancelled, or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 1.192(c)(3)).
3.		At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 1.192(c)(4)).
4.		The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the claimed invention, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 1.192(c)(5)).
5.		The brief does not contain a concise statement of the issues presented for review (37 CFR 1.192(c)(6)).
6.	$\boxtimes$	A single ground of rejection has been applied to two or more claims in this application, and
	(a)	the brief omits the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet presents arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
	(b)	the brief includes the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet does not present arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
7.		The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each issue on appeal (37 CFR 1.192(c)(8)).
8.		The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 1.192(c)(9)).
9.		Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):
		The applicant stated that "claim 8 stands alone on its own." and "claim 9-17 each also stand alone on their own." The applicant only argues claims 8 and 10 in the argument section. Since applicant stated that "claim 9-17 each also stand alone on their own" need to provide argument for each claim seperately and explain how each claim is seperately patentable from each other (see 37 CFR 1.192 (c) (8)). Further, claims 11-14 have not been rejected and thus are not part of the appeal.

LESLIE A. BRAUN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

ATU